



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,210	07/21/2004	Christopher K. Haas	57787US007	1825
32692	7590	12/18/2006	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			DESAI, ANISH P	
PO BOX 33427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			1771	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/18/2006		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/502,210	HAAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anish Desai	1771	

All Participants:

Status of Application: NON-FINAL

(1) Anish Desai.

(3) _____

(2) Kent Koko.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 December 2006

Time: 10:15 AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 or 103

Claims discussed:

1 and 13-15

Prior art documents discussed:

Perez (US 6,468, 451B1)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Koko that the currently amended claim 1 as submitted in the applicant's amendment dated 10/10/06 does not appear to overcome the prior art of Perez (US 6, 468, 451B1). Additionally, the examiner suggested to the applicant to further define the core in claim 13.